

Washington, D.C. 20201

OCT 18 2006

TO:

Timothy B. Hill

Chief Financial Officer

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

FROM:

Jøseph E. Vengrin

Deputy Inspector General for Audit Services

**SUBJECT:** 

Oversight and Evaluation of the Fiscal Year 2006 Comprehensive Error

Rate Testing Program (A-03-06-00011)

The attached final report provides the results of our oversight and evaluation of the fiscal year (FY) 2006 Comprehensive Error Rate Testing (CERT) program. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) developed the CERT program to establish the Medicare fee-for-service paid claims error rate for all provider claims other than inpatient acute-care and long-term care hospital claims. CMS includes the CERT results in its annual report on erroneous Medicare payments required by the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-300).

During FY 2006, AdvanceMed served as the CERT medical review contractor, and Livanta served as the documentation contractor. For a sample of claims, AdvanceMed reviewed the medical records that Livanta obtained from health care providers.

The objectives of our FY 2006 audit were to determine (1) whether CMS ensured that its two CERT contractors had appropriate controls to ensure that medical and quality assurance reviews and initial and follow-up document requests followed established procedures and operated effectively, (2) the status of initiatives to reduce the CERT error rate that CMS proposed in its November 2005 "Improper Fee-for-Service Payments Long Report," and (3) whether CMS took appropriate action on the recommendations in our FY 2005 audit report.

CMS ensured that AdvanceMed had appropriate controls to ensure that medical and quality assurance reviews were in accordance with established procedures and that it adequately maintained, updated, and reported the results of those reviews. CMS also ensured that Livanta had appropriate controls to ensure that medical documentation was properly received, controlled, and provided to AdvanceMed and that Livanta followed up with providers when medical records were not received promptly.

In addition, CMS advised us that it had performed several tasks to accomplish its initiatives to reduce the CERT error rate. Finally, CMS took appropriate action on the recommendations in our FY 2005 audit report.

## Page 2 – Timothy B. Hill

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please do not hesitate to call me, or your staff may contact Lori S. Pilcher, Assistant Inspector General for Financial Management and Regional Operations, at (202) 619-1157 or through e-mail at <a href="Lori.Pilcher@oig.hhs.gov">Lori.Pilcher@oig.hhs.gov</a>. Please refer to report number A-03-06-00011.

Attachment

# Department of Health and Human Services

# OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

# OVERSIGHT AND EVALUATION OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2006 COMPREHENSIVE ERROR RATE TESTING PROGRAM



Daniel R. Levinson Inspector General

> October 2006 A-03-06-00011

## Office of Inspector General

http://oig.hhs.gov

The mission of the Office of Inspector General (OIG), as mandated by Public Law 95-452, as amended, is to protect the integrity of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) programs, as well as the health and welfare of beneficiaries served by those programs. This statutory mission is carried out through a nationwide network of audits, investigations, and inspections conducted by the following operating components:

## Office of Audit Services

The Office of Audit Services (OAS) provides all auditing services for HHS, either by conducting audits with its own audit resources or by overseeing audit work done by others. Audits examine the performance of HHS programs and/or its grantees and contractors in carrying out their respective responsibilities and are intended to provide independent assessments of HHS programs and operations. These assessments help reduce waste, abuse, and mismanagement and promote economy and efficiency throughout HHS.

## Office of Evaluation and Inspections

The Office of Evaluation and Inspections (OEI) conducts national evaluations to provide HHS, Congress, and the public with timely, useful, and reliable information on significant issues. Specifically, these evaluations focus on preventing fraud, waste, or abuse and promoting economy, efficiency, and effectiveness in departmental programs. To promote impact, the reports also present practical recommendations for improving program operations.

## Office of Investigations

The Office of Investigations (OI) conducts criminal, civil, and administrative investigations of allegations of wrongdoing in HHS programs or to HHS beneficiaries and of unjust enrichment by providers. The investigative efforts of OI lead to criminal convictions, administrative sanctions, or civil monetary penalties.

## Office of Counsel to the Inspector General

The Office of Counsel to the Inspector General (OCIG) provides general legal services to OIG, rendering advice and opinions on HHS programs and operations and providing all legal support in OIG's internal operations. OCIG imposes program exclusions and civil monetary penalties on health care providers and litigates those actions within HHS. OCIG also represents OIG in the global settlement of cases arising under the Civil False Claims Act, develops and monitors corporate integrity agreements, develops compliance program guidances, renders advisory opinions on OIG sanctions to the health care community, and issues fraud alerts and other industry guidance.

## **Notices**

## THIS REPORT IS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC

at http://oig.hhs.gov

In accordance with the principles of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552, as amended by Public Law 104-231), Office of Inspector General, Office of Audit Services reports are made available to members of the public to the extent the information is not subject to exemptions in the act. (See 45 CFR part 5.)

## OAS FINDINGS AND OPINIONS

The designation of financial or management practices as questionable or a recommendation for the disallowance of costs incurred or claimed, as well as other conclusions and recommendations in this report, represent the findings and opinions of the HHS/OIG/OAS. Authorized officials of the HHS divisions will make final determination on these matters.



#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### BACKGROUND

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) developed the Comprehensive Error Rate Testing (CERT) program to establish the Medicare fee-for-service paid claims error rate for all provider claims other than inpatient hospital claims.

Under contracts with CMS, two companies were responsible for operating the CERT program during fiscal year (FY) 2006. AdvanceMed served as the CERT medical review contractor, and Livanta served as the documentation contractor. For a sample of claims, AdvanceMed reviewed the medical records that Livanta obtained from health care providers.

CMS includes the CERT results in its annual report on erroneous Medicare payments required by the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-300).

### **OBJECTIVES**

The objectives of our FY 2006 CERT audit were to determine:

- whether CMS ensured that its two CERT contractors had appropriate controls to ensure that medical and quality assurance reviews and initial and follow-up document requests followed established procedures and operated effectively,
- the status of initiatives to reduce the CERT error rate that CMS proposed in its November 2005 "Improper Fee-for-Service Payments Long Report," and
- whether CMS took appropriate action on the recommendations in our FY 2005 audit report.

### **SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

CMS ensured that AdvanceMed had appropriate controls to ensure that medical and quality assurance reviews were in accordance with established procedures and that it adequately maintained, updated, and reported the results of those reviews. CMS also ensured that Livanta had appropriate controls to ensure that medical documentation was properly received, controlled, and provided to AdvanceMed and that Livanta followed up with providers when medical records were not received promptly.

In addition, CMS advised us that it had performed several tasks to accomplish its initiatives to reduce the CERT error rate. Finally, CMS took appropriate action on the recommendations in our FY 2005 audit report.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Pa</u>	ıge
INTRODUCTION1	1
BACKGROUND1	1
Medicare Program1	
Medicare Error Rate1	
Comprehensive Error Rate Testing Program1	
OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY2	2
Objectives2	2
Scope2	
Methodology3	3
RESULTS OF REVIEW	3
APPROPRIATENESS OF CONTROLS	3
INITIATIVES TO REDUCE THE ERROR RATE4	4
STATUS OF PRIOR-YEAR RECOMMENDATIONS4	4

#### INTRODUCTION

### **BACKGROUND**

## **Medicare Program**

Title XVIII of the Social Security Act established Medicare as a broad health insurance program that covers people 65 years of age and older, along with those under 65 who are disabled or who have end-stage renal disease. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) administers the Medicare program through a number of contractors.

### **Medicare Error Rate**

In fiscal year (FY) 2000, CMS initiated two programs to develop a fee-for-service Medicare error rate. The Comprehensive Error Rate Testing (CERT) program, which is the subject of this report, was established to produce an error rate for all provider claims other than inpatient hospital claims. The Hospital Payment Monitoring Program (HPMP), the subject of another Office of Inspector General report (A-03-06-00010), was established to produce an error rate for inpatient acute-care hospital claims. When aggregated, those error rates produce an overall Medicare fee-for-service paid claims error rate. An error is the difference between the amount that Medicare paid to a provider and the amount that it should have paid.

Using the results of its error rate programs, CMS annually submits to Congress an estimate of the amount of improper payments for Medicare fee-for-service claims pursuant to the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002 (Public Law 107-300). Implementing guidance from the Office of Management and Budget requires that the Department of Health and Human Services include the estimate in the "Performance and Accountability Report" for each FY.

In its November 2005 "Improper Fee-for-Service Payments Long Report," CMS reported that the aggregate Medicare fee-for-service error rate for FY 2005 was 5.2 percent. CMS also reported that the CERT error rates by affiliated contractor type were 8.6 percent for durable medical equipment regional carriers, 6.4 percent for carriers, and 3.4 percent for fiscal intermediaries. In addition, CMS described its initiatives to reduce the paid claims error rate.

### **Comprehensive Error Rate Testing Program**

CMS designed the CERT program to determine the underlying reasons for claim errors and to develop appropriate action plans to improve compliance with payment, claims processing, and provider billing requirements. The FY 2006 CERT error rate was based on payments for claims submitted by providers to the affiliated contractors from April 1, 2005, to March 31, 2006. CMS selected for review by the CERT medical review contractor (AdvanceMed) 156,663 of the 1,150,758,446 claims submitted during that period. The selected claims included outpatient hospital, home health, skilled nursing facility, carrier, and medical equipment claims, as well as critical access, psychiatric, and rehabilitation inpatient hospital claims.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Inpatient hospital claims included short-term acute-care inpatient and long-term hospital claims, excluding critical access, psychiatric, and rehabilitation hospital claims.

For the sampled claims, the CERT documentation contractor (Livanta) requested medical records from providers and the affiliated contractors. If a provider failed to respond to the initial request within 30 days, Livanta was required to make a series of follow-up phone calls and letter requests.

In reviewing claims and medical records, AdvanceMed followed Medicare regulations, national coverage decisions, coverage provisions in interpretive manuals, and affiliated contractors' local medical review policies. In the absence of written criteria, the AdvanceMed medical review specialists applied their clinical expertise.

CMS required that AdvanceMed conduct quality assurance reviews to help assure CMS that medical review results were accurate, consistent, and documented in accordance with CERT procedures. Each month, AdvanceMed selected and reviewed a random sample of 100 claims for which medical review specialists found no errors and an additional 10-percent random sample of claims for which medical review specialists found errors. For FY 2006, AdvanceMed selected 3,014 of the 156,663 claims for quality assurance reviews. CMS included the results of the CERT quality assurance reviews in its CERT error rate calculations.

## OBJECTIVES, SCOPE, AND METHODOLOGY

## **Objectives**

The objectives of our FY 2006 CERT audit were to determine:

- whether CMS ensured that its two CERT contractors had appropriate controls to ensure that medical and quality assurance reviews and initial and follow-up document requests followed established procedures and operated effectively,
- the status of initiatives to reduce the CERT error rate that CMS proposed in its November 2005 report, and
- whether CMS took appropriate action on the recommendations in our FY 2005 audit report.<sup>2</sup>

### Scope

We limited our review of controls to assessing and testing selected aspects of the CERT document request and follow-up procedures and the medical review and reporting process, including information in the CERT database and the medical records used to support review decisions. We reviewed system reports and control logs and physically observed procedures and practices. We did not independently evaluate CERT medical review decisions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>"Oversight and Evaluation of the Fiscal Year 2005 Comprehensive Error Rate Testing Program" (A-03-05-00006, issued November 10, 2005).

We performed the review at CMS headquarters in Baltimore, Maryland, at AdvanceMed in Richmond, Virginia, and at Livanta in Annapolis Junction, Maryland, from April through September 2006.

## Methodology

To accomplish our objectives, we:

- performed limited testing, analysis, and review of:
  - o the CERT medical review and quality assurance processes,
  - o the CERT Tracking and Reporting Database System for accuracy and completeness, and
  - o reports and control logs and physically observed procedures and practices;
- reviewed the qualifications and certifications of the medical review specialists;
- reviewed CMS's November 2005 "Improper Fee-for-Service Payments Long Report" to identify initiatives to reduce the CERT error rate and discussed the status of those initiatives with CMS officials; and
- discussed with CMS officials the actions taken to address the recommendations in our FY 2005 audit report.

We performed the review in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

### **RESULTS OF REVIEW**

CMS ensured that AdvanceMed had appropriate controls to ensure that medical and quality assurance reviews were in accordance with established procedures and that it adequately maintained, updated, and reported the results of those reviews. CMS also ensured that Livanta had appropriate controls to ensure that medical documentation was properly received, controlled, and provided to AdvanceMed and that Livanta followed up with providers when medical records were not received promptly.

In addition, CMS advised us that it had performed several tasks to accomplish its initiatives to reduce the CERT error rate. Finally, CMS took appropriate action on the recommendations in our FY 2005 audit report.

## APPROPRIATENESS OF CONTROLS

Based on our review of reports and control logs and physical observation of procedures and practices, AdvanceMed and Livanta followed established CERT policies and procedures and accurately reported the results in the claims databases. Specifically:

• The AdvanceMed medical review and quality assurance processes were reliable.

- The AdvanceMed medical review specialists were qualified and certified to perform medical reviews.
- Livanta performed the appropriate procedures for making initial documentation requests.
  Livanta also performed appropriate followup when providers submitted incomplete or no documentation.
- The CERT Tracking and Reporting Database System was accurate and complete.

## INITIATIVES TO REDUCE THE ERROR RATE

In its November 2005 report, CMS stated that one of its performance goals was to reduce improper Medicare fee-for-service payments. The report stated that to achieve that goal, CMS was working with the CERT contractors to implement several initiatives to reduce the CERT paid claims error rate.

CMS described the following actions taken to implement its initiatives:

- Livanta developed a Web site that provides detailed and summary CERT information for providers and affiliated contractors, access to its monthly newsletters, and the means to update provider addresses.
- Livanta used fax servers to receive medical records, imaged all hard-copy records it received, and modified its record request letter to clarify the components of the medical record needed to help decrease documentation errors.
- CMS encouraged its affiliated contractors to educate providers on the CERT program and its importance.
- CMS reduced the time needed to produce the CERT report.

## STATUS OF PRIOR-YEAR RECOMMENDATIONS

In our audit of the FY 2005 CERT program (A-03-05-00006), we recommended that CMS (1) work to establish CERT and HPMP sample periods that are more consistent with each other and more closely aligned with the FY and (2) consider consolidating the management of the error rate program under a single office for consistency in methodology and uniformity in reporting.

CMS took appropriate action on these recommendations.

For the first recommendation, CMS stated that it had adjusted the CERT sample period by 3 months. As a result, the CERT error rate included claims submitted for payment during the last 6 months of FY 2005 and the first 6 months of FY 2006. In contrast, the HPMP error rate included claims with discharge dates during the last 9 months of FY 2005 and the first 3 months of FY 2006.

For the second recommendation, CMS stated that it had held internal meetings to explore the operational feasibility and cost effectiveness of combining the management of the CERT program and the HPMP but had not decided on a course of action.